

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Mu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,188	08/17/2001		James K. Plourde	A2550.0030/P030	1402
24998	7590	08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEI 2101 L STR		IRO MORIN & O	NGUYE	NGUYEN, DUNG T	
		20037-1526	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2828	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		- lin
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/931,188	PLOURDE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 This action is FINAL . 2b) □ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumi	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/931,188

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5-12, and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watterson et al. (US6498800).

With respect to claims 1, 5-10, and 14-21, Watterson show in Fig.3-4 a laser system for transmitting the beam in a WDM communication system (col.5, l.43-44) comprising a laser 105 for generating a laser beam, a beam splitter 125, a control filter 130 (etalon) in a control path and a reference filter 160 (etalon) that has the periodicity greater than the periodicity of the control filter (see col.4-6) in a reference path, and an optical waveguide for transmitting the laser beam.

Application/Control Number: 09/931,188

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claims 2-3 and 11-12, Watterson disclose in Fig.3 a controller 115.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watterson et al. (US6498800) in view of Rakuljic et al. (US5691989).

With respect to claims 4 and 13, Watterson disclose all limitations of the claims except for a servo system. Rakuljic disclose a servo system (col.13, l.15-16). For the benefit of controlling the laser, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Watterson a servo system as taught by Rakuljic.

Application/Control Number: 09/931,188 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Michael Dung Nguyen

MINSUN CH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMPLES